

1 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.  
KATHLEEN A. HERKENHOFF (168562)  
2 12707 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200  
San Diego, CA 92130  
3 Telephone: 858/794-1441  
Facsimile: 858/794-1450  
4 kah@weiserlawfirm.com

5 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.  
ROBERT B. WEISER  
6 BRETT D. STECKER  
JEFFREY J. CIARLANTO  
7 121 N. Wayne Avenue, Suite 100  
Wayne, PA 19087  
8 Telephone: 610/225-2677  
Facsimile: 610/225-2678

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Walter Hamilton  
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 In re CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC. ) No. C-08-4966 SC  
15 SECURITIES AND DERIVATIVE )  
LITIGATION ) NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  
16 \_\_\_\_\_ )

**EXHIBIT A-1**

17 This Document Relates To: )  
18 Nos. CV-10-01849-SC, CV-10-03607- )  
SC, and CV-10-03627-SC. )  
19 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



1 fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel in the Actions, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the  
2 Stipulation (the "Fee Award"); and (iii) awards in the amount of \$2,500 to each of the Plaintiffs (the  
3 "Special Awards") to be paid from the Fee Award prior to its distribution among Plaintiffs' Counsel.

4 On February 24, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., a hearing (the "Settlement Hearing")  
5 will be held before the Honorable Samuel Conti of the United States District Court for the Northern  
6 District of California, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Courtroom 1, San Francisco, California,  
7 to determine: (1) whether the terms of the Settlement, including the Fee Award and Special Awards  
8 should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (2) whether the Actions should be  
9 dismissed on the merits and with prejudice.

10 This Notice summarizes, and is controlled by, the terms of the Settlement, which is contained  
11 in a Stipulation of Settlement. You can view and obtain the Stipulation from the "Investor  
12 Relations" section of Cadence's website (<http://www.cadence.com>), which contains a hyperlink to  
13 the Stipulation. All capitalized terms in this Notice, if not defined in this Notice itself, are defined in  
14 the Stipulation of Settlement, and their meaning in this Notice is the same as in the Stipulation. The  
15 same hyperlink will connect you to related documents that are discussed in this Notice.

16 **THE COURT HAS MADE NO FINDINGS OR DETERMINATIONS RESPECTING**  
17 **THE MERITS OF THE LITIGATION. THE RECITATION OF THE BACKGROUND AND**  
18 **CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE SETTLEMENT CONTAINED HEREIN DOES NOT**  
19 **CONSTITUTE THE FINDINGS OF THE COURT. IT IS BASED ON REPRESENTATIONS**  
20 **MADE TO THE COURT BY COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES.**

21 **BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIONS**

22 The Actions are brought on behalf of Nominal Defendant Cadence, a Delaware corporation  
23 headquartered in San Jose, California. Cadence customers use Cadence software, hardware, IP and  
24 services to design and verify advanced semiconductors, consumer electronics, networking and  
25 telecommunications equipment, and computer systems.

26 On October 22, 2008, Cadence announced that it was reviewing the recognition of revenue  
27 related to customer contracts signed during the first quarter of 2008. The Company also announced  
28

1 that it expected to restate its financial statements for the first quarter of 2008 and the first half of  
2 2008 to correct the revenue recognition with respect to certain contracts.

3 On November 18, 2008 and December 1, 2008, respectively, Ury Priel (“Priel”) and Mark  
4 Levine (“Levine”) filed shareholder derivative complaints against the Individual Defendants on  
5 behalf of Cadence in the State Court. On January 20, 2009, the Court consolidated the actions filed  
6 by Priel and Levine, thus forming the State Action.<sup>1</sup>

7 The State Action alleges that certain present and former directors and officers of Cadence  
8 (the “Individual Defendants”) caused Cadence to report materially false and misleading financial  
9 statements for the first two quarters of fiscal year 2008 by, *inter alia*, prematurely recognizing  
10 revenue on customer contracts in violation of GAAP. The complaints in the Actions allege claims  
11 for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, and  
12 unjust enrichment, and Plaintiffs alleged that the Individual Defendants’ conduct damaged Cadence.  
13 To learn more about the specific allegations and claimed damages in the Actions (and the allegations  
14 and claimed damages in a related shareholder class action (the “Class Action”)), you can inspect the  
15 complaints on file with the Court or at the website [www.weiserlawfirm.com](http://www.weiserlawfirm.com). The parties in the  
16 Class Action (captioned and numbered *In re Cadence Design Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No.  
17 3:08-cv-04966-SC) have reached an agreement-in-principle to settle that case, and the same Court  
18 that will consider final approval of the Actions, will also consider final settlement approval of the  
19 Class Action. A copy of that proposed settlement in the stockholder class action and of the related  
20 notice may be obtained through the Clerk of the Court or via hyperlinks provided in the from the  
21 “Investor Relations” section of Cadence’s website (<http://www.cadence.com>).

22 On December 10, 2008, the Company announced that the Audit Committee of its Board of  
23 Directors, with the assistance of special counsel Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, completed an  
24 investigation of, among other things, the recognition of revenue related to customer contracts (the  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> On May 25, 2011, Levine filed a Request for Dismissal With Prejudice with the State Court,  
27 seeking leave to dismiss with prejudice the shareholder derivative action that he filed on December  
28 1, 2008.

1 “Investigation”), and that as a result of the Investigation, the Company would restate its quarterly  
2 financial statements for the first two quarters of 2008. The restatement resulted in the adjustment of  
3 \$24.8 million of product revenue recognized in the first quarter of 2008, and \$12 million of product  
4 revenue recognized in the second quarter of 2008. The Company also announced that the Audit  
5 Committee had concluded that the circumstances that led to the restatement were not the result of  
6 illegal conduct on the part of any of Cadence’s directors, officers or other employees. As a result of  
7 the Investigation, however, the Company identified a material weakness relating to the insufficient  
8 design and ineffective operation of certain internal controls over the recognition of revenue from  
9 customer contracts.

10 On April 28, 2010, plaintiff Walter Hamilton initiated the *Hamilton Action* in the Federal  
11 Court. On August 16, 2010, plaintiff Arash Samani initiated the *Samani Action* in the Federal Court.  
12 On August 17, 2010, plaintiff George Powers initiated the *Powers Action* in the Federal Court. The  
13 Federal Actions allege shareholder derivative claims on behalf of Cadence that are similar to the  
14 State Action. The Federal Actions also allege that the Individual Defendants caused the Company to  
15 report materially overstated revenues and earnings by, *inter alia*, prematurely recognizing revenue  
16 on customer contracts in violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

17 After exchanging certain information, on August 25, 2010, the Settling Parties participated in  
18 a formal joint mediation before JAMS mediator the Hon. (Ret.) Edward A. Infante (“Judge Infante”)  
19 in San Francisco, California. Although the Settling Parties made some progress at the mediation, the  
20 Actions did not settle at that time. The mediation, however, resulted in continued settlement  
21 discussions which occurred throughout the fall and winter of 2010. Ultimately, the Settling Parties  
22 engaged in a final mediation before Judge Infante on February 8, 2011 in San Francisco, California.  
23 With the substantial assistance of Judge Infante (including a “mediator’s proposal” to settle the  
24 Actions when the Settling Parties appeared deadlocked), the Settling Parties were able to reach an  
25 agreement-in-principle on the settlement terms herein, which ultimately culminated in the proposed  
26 Settlement reflected in the Stipulation.

27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT**

Plaintiffs’ Counsel has conducted an extensive investigation during the development and prosecution of the Actions, which included, *inter alia*: (i) inspecting, reviewing and analyzing the Company’s public filings; (ii) preparing detailed complaints; (iii) researching the applicable law with respect to the claims asserted in the Actions and the potential defenses thereto; (iv) interviewing several confidential witnesses; (v) reviewing certain of the Company’s internal documents; (vi) reviewing and analyzing the extensive record in the Securities Action; (vii) researching various corporate governance issues; (viii) preparing multiple mediation briefs; (ix) participating in numerous telephonic conferences with Judge Infante, Cadence's and the Individual Defendants' counsel; and (x) attending two formal mediations with Cadence's and the Individual Defendants’ counsel and Judge Infante.

Based upon their investigation, Plaintiffs’ Counsel believes that the claims in the Federal Actions have merit and were brought in good faith. Nevertheless, without conceding the merit of any of the Individual Defendants’ defenses or the lack of merit of any of their allegations, and solely in order to avoid the potentially protracted time, expense, and uncertainty associated with continued litigation, including potential trial and appeals, Plaintiffs have concluded that it is desirable that the Actions be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation. Based on these considerations, among others, Plaintiffs believe that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to Cadence and its stockholders, and that the Settlement has conferred or will confer substantial benefits upon and is in the best interests of Cadence and Current Cadence Stockholders.

The Individual Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims and contentions alleged by the Plaintiffs in the Actions. The Individual Defendants expressly have denied and continue to deny all charges of wrongdoing and liability against them arising out of any of the conduct, statements, acts or omissions alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Actions. Each of the Individual Defendants denies the allegations concerning any alleged breach of fiduciary duty. The Individual Defendants assert that at all relevant times, they acted in good faith and in a manner they reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

1 The Individual Defendants deny the allegations that the Plaintiffs, Cadence, or its shareholders have  
2 suffered damages, or that the Plaintiffs, Cadence or its shareholders were harmed by the conduct  
3 alleged in the Actions.

4 Nonetheless, Cadence and the Individual Defendants have agreed to settle the Actions on the  
5 terms in the Stipulation. Without conceding merit of any of Plaintiffs' allegations, or lack of merit  
6 of any of the Individual Defendants' defenses, and solely in order to avoid the potentially protracted  
7 time, expense, and uncertainty associated with continued litigation, Cadence and the Individual  
8 Defendants have concluded that it is desirable that the Actions be fully and finally settled in the  
9 manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

10 **SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT TERMS**

11 As detailed in the Stipulation, the Settlement includes the adoption, implementation, and/or  
12 maintenance of a variety of corporate governance reforms ("Corporate Governance Enhancements"),  
13 including measures that Plaintiffs believe address the underlying issues in the Actions, including, but  
14 not limited to, improved procedures, oversight and training concerning revenue recognition  
15 practices, increased communication between the Company's outside independent auditor and the  
16 Company's Board of Directors (the "Board"), provisions requiring Board members to attend annual  
17 training in areas, including, but not limited to, revenue recognition and internal controls over  
18 financial reporting, as well as other enhanced internal control procedures. These Corporate  
19 Governance Enhancements, documented in the Stipulation, specifically include:

20 1. Corporate Governance Enhancements

21 a. Revenue Recognition

22 (1) The Company's management and Board will institute a process to  
23 review, on at least an annual basis, the Company's revenue recognition policies and analyze, in  
24 consultation with the Company's independent outside auditor, whether changes to those policies are  
25 appropriate.

26 (i) The Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and  
27 Controller will, on at least an annual basis, meet with the Company's Revenue Accounting  
28 Department and the Company's independent outside auditor, for the purpose of reviewing the

1 Company's revenue recognition policies. At least the following matters will be reviewed at this  
2 meeting:

- 3 1. The accounting standards and guidance relevant to revenue  
4 recognition;
- 5 2. Any new accounting standards or guidance relevant to  
6 Cadence (including any proposed changes to standards or  
7 guidance);
- 8 3. Any areas related to revenue recognition where significant  
9 judgment is required for application of Cadence's revenue  
10 recognition policies;
- 11 4. The adequacy of Cadence's internal controls over financial  
12 reporting related to revenue recognition.

13 (ii) The Company's CFO will present the conclusions of these  
14 meetings to the Audit Committee of the Board within thirty (30) days after their conclusion, and  
15 shall discuss with the Audit Committee whether any changes to the Company's policies should be  
16 adopted. The Company will request that its independent outside auditor be present at this meeting  
17 and available to answer questions.

18 (2) The Company will require that its independent outside auditor report  
19 in writing to the Audit Committee at least annually regarding: (i) whether it recommends any  
20 changes to the Company's revenue recognition policies or (ii) its internal controls over financial  
21 reporting related to revenue recognition. The Company will require that its independent outside  
22 auditor also meet privately, at least annually, with the Audit Committee to discuss the Company's  
23 revenue recognition policies, guidelines, procedures, and the adequacy of its internal controls over  
24 financial reporting.

25 (3) The Company's Internal Auditor will report to the Board at least  
26 annually regarding the Company's compliance with its revenue recognition policies, and the  
27 adequacy of the Company's internal controls related to financial reporting. If the Company's Internal  
28 Auditor becomes aware of any significant issue(s) (or potentially significant issue(s)) regarding the

1 Company's compliance with its revenue recognition policies or the adequacy of Cadence's internal  
2 controls over financial reporting relating to revenue recognition, or any other matter, the Internal  
3 Auditor will report the issue in writing promptly to the Company's General Counsel and Audit  
4 Committee.

5 (4) The Audit Committee will meet at least annually with the Company's  
6 CFO and the independent outside auditor to review the Company's disclosure process used in  
7 preparing and reviewing draft financial statements. This meeting will, at minimum, address whether  
8 the Company's disclosures related to revenue recognition and internal controls over financial  
9 reporting are appropriate, and whether the Company's processes related to those disclosures are  
10 appropriate.

11 (5) All sales finance personnel will receive, at least annually, instruction  
12 on the Company's revenue recognition policies, and will be asked to certify, in writing, annually that  
13 they have a sufficient understanding of the policies to recognize how their activities can impact  
14 revenue recognition. If any significant changes are made to the Company's revenue recognition  
15 policies that relate to or concern the responsibilities of sales finance personnel, they will promptly  
16 participate in training regarding these changes, and certify, in writing, their understanding of these  
17 changes.

18 b. Foreign Operations

19 (1) The senior employee at each foreign operation or sales team with  
20 foreign customers will certify, in writing, to the Company's CFO on a quarterly basis that, after  
21 reasonable inquiry, he or she can state that there are no agreements or understandings with the  
22 Company's customers relevant to revenue recognition which have not been fully disclosed to the  
23 Company's auditors.

24 c. Board Policies

25 (1) The Board will solicit bids from audit firms and consider whether it is  
26 appropriate to recommend to stockholders a ratification of a change in audit firms.

27 (2) The Board will participate in training on relevant topics, including but  
28 not limited to, internal controls, SEC and Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 compliance, executive

1 compensation, revenue recognition, and internal controls over financial reporting, provided by a  
2 qualified third party (such as a reputable “Director College” or an internationally-recognized law or  
3 accounting firm), on at least an annual basis.

4 (3) The chair of each committee of the Board shall rotate at least once  
5 every seven years (the first such rotation to occur no later than 2018), subject to the discretion of a  
6 majority of the independent directors of the Board to allow an individual to remain as chair of a  
7 particular committee for such longer time as it determines to be in the best interests of Cadence and  
8 its shareholders.

9 (4) The Audit Committee will, at least annually, formally review  
10 Cadence’s policies related to revenue recognition and the adequacy of its internal controls over  
11 financial reporting with the Company’s CFO and independent outside auditor, as well as the relevant  
12 internal controls over financial reporting that the Company has in place regarding revenue  
13 recognition. This review will take into account at least the following matters:

14 (i) Any relevant changes to revenue recognition accounting  
15 standards, internal controls or guidance; and

16 (ii) Whether the Company should make any changes to its internal  
17 controls related to revenue recognition and/or financial reporting.

18 (5) Cadence will continue to maintain director and officer stock ownership  
19 guidelines.

20 (6) The Compensation Committee of the Board will meet at least three  
21 times per year. The subjects of these meetings will include at least the following:

22 (i) Whether the Company’s corporate goals and objectives related  
23 to compensation of its senior management are appropriate.

24 (ii) Evaluation of the performance of the Company’s senior  
25 management relative to the corporate goals and objectives related to compensation of senior  
26 management.

27 (iii) Review and evaluation of the Company’s “Compensation  
28 Discussion and Analysis” and related disclosures.

1 (iv) Consider and be responsible for approving or denying any  
2 severance payments to Named Executive Officers if the employee was terminated or resigned.

3 (7) The Compensation Committee of the Board will retain an independent  
4 consultant at least once every three years to conduct a study of Cadence's executive compensation  
5 policies, relative to its peer companies. The results of each such study will be promptly presented to  
6 the Compensation Committee and the full Board.

7 2. Earlier Corporate Governance Enhancement

8 a. In addition to the above terms, Cadence previously adopted the following  
9 corporate governance enhancement (the "Earlier Corporate Governance Enhancement") after the  
10 filing of one or more of the Actions: Cadence adopted a "clawback" policy relating to the effect of  
11 restating financial statements on compensation (see Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of  
12 Stockholders), which shall be maintained consistent with then-applicable law. In adopting the  
13 Earlier Corporate Governance Enhancement, Cadence considered the allegations of wrongdoing  
14 made in the Actions. The Earlier Corporate Governance Enhancement was made at least in part in  
15 recognition of the Actions.

16 b. Separate from the Earlier Corporate Governance Enhancement, Cadence  
17 added a new independent director to its Board in 2011. Cadence will not dispute that the Actions  
18 were considered in connection with addition of this new independent director to the Board in 2011.

19 3. Cadence agrees that these policies will remain in effect and be adhered to for a period  
20 of at least three (3) calendar years from the date of the final approval of the settlement, unless any of  
21 these measures would conflict with a change in relevant law or listing standards (the "Effective  
22 Period").

23 **RELEASE OF CLAIMS**

24 Upon the Effective Date, as defined in ¶1.7 of the Stipulation, (i) Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs'  
25 Counsel, on their own behalf and derivatively on behalf of Cadence (as nominal defendant), (ii)  
26 Cadence and (iii) Current Cadence Stockholders shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the  
27 Final Judgment and Order shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished, extinguished  
28 and discharged all Released Claims (including Unknown Claims as defined in ¶1.24 of the



1 Based on the benefits that Plaintiffs' Counsel believes that Plaintiffs have achieved through  
2 the prosecution of the Actions, Plaintiffs' Counsel also will seek Court approval for Special Awards  
3 in the amount of \$2,500 for each of the Plaintiffs. The Individual Defendants and Cadence will not  
4 object to a request for Court approval of the Special Awards. The Special Awards shall be funded  
5 by the Fee Award to the extent approved in whole or part.

6 The Court may consider and rule upon the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the  
7 Settlement independently of the Fee Award or Special Awards.

8 **RIGHT TO APPEAR AND OBJECT**

9 **IF YOU ARE A CURRENT CADENCE STOCKHOLDER, YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE**  
10 **AFFECTED BY PROCEEDINGS IN THE LITIGATION.**

11 Any Current Cadence Stockholder objecting to the Settlement of the Actions, including the  
12 Fee Award and/or the Special Awards, shall have a right to appear and to be heard at the Settlement  
13 Hearing, provided that he or she was a shareholder of record or beneficial owner as of June 7, 2011.  
14 Any Current Cadence Stockholder who satisfies this requirement may enter an appearance through  
15 counsel of such shareholder's own choosing and at such member's own expense or may appear on  
16 his or her own. However, no Current Cadence Stockholder shall be heard at the Settlement Hearing  
17 unless no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the date of the Settlement Hearing, such  
18 shareholder has filed with the Court and delivered to Plaintiffs' Counsel, and Cadence's counsel, a  
19 written notice of objection, their ground for opposing the Settlement, and proof of both their status as  
20 a shareholder and the dates of stock ownership in Cadence. Only Current Cadence Shareholders  
21 who have filed and delivered valid and timely written notices of objection will be entitled to be heard  
22 at the Settlement Hearing unless the Court orders otherwise.

23 If you wish to object to the Settlement, you must file a written objection setting forth the  
24 grounds for such an objection with the Court on or before February 3, 2012 with service on the  
25 following parties:  
26  
27  
28

1 ***Counsel for Plaintiff Walter Hamilton in the***  
2 ***Federal Actions***

3 Kathleen A. Herkenhoff  
4 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.  
5 12707 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200  
6 San Diego, CA 92130

7 Robert B. Weiser  
8 Brett D. Stecker  
9 Jeffrey J. Ciarlanto  
10 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.  
11 121 North Wayne Avenue, Suite 100  
12 Wayne, PA 19087

13 Kip B. Shuman  
14 Rusty E. Glenn  
15 THE SHUMAN LAW FIRM  
16 885 Arapahoe Ave.  
17 Boulder, CO 80302

18 ***Counsel for Plaintiff Ury Priel in the State***  
19 ***Action***

20 William B. Federman  
21 FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD  
22 10205 N. Pennsylvania Avenue  
23 Oklahoma, OK 73120

24 ***Counsel for Cadence***

25 Ethan D. Dettmer  
26 Matthew S. Kahn  
27 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
28 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000  
San Francisco, CA 94105

***Any Current Cadence Stockholder who fails to object in the manner and within the time prescribed above shall be deemed to have waived the right to object (including the right to appeal) and forever shall be barred, in this proceeding or in any other proceeding, from raising such objection and will be barred for all time by the Order and Final Judgment of the Court. Current Cadence Stockholders who have no objection to the Settlement do not need to appear at the Settlement Hearing or take any other action.***

1 **THE ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

2 If the Court determines that the Settlement, as provided for in the Stipulation, is fair,  
3 reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Cadence and Current Cadence Stockholders, the  
4 parties to the Actions will ask the Court to enter the Order and Final Judgment, which will, among  
5 other things:

6 (a) approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of  
7 Cadence and Current Cadence Stockholders and direct consummation of the Settlement in  
8 accordance with its terms and conditions;

9 (b) issue the Fee Award and Special Awards;

10 (c) dismiss the Actions with prejudice on the merits and release all Released  
11 Claims as against Cadence and the Individual Defendants;

12 (d) permanently bar and enjoin Plaintiffs, Cadence and Current Cadence  
13 Stockholders from instituting, commencing or prosecuting the Released Claims as against Cadence  
14 and the Individual Defendants in any court or tribunal of this or any other jurisdiction; and

15 (e) retain jurisdiction over all matters relating to the consummation of the  
16 Settlement provided for herein.

17 In the event the Settlement is not approved, or such approval does not become Final, then the  
18 Settlement shall be of no further force and effect and each party then shall be returned to his, her or  
19 its respective position immediately prior to the Settlement without prejudice and as if the Settlement  
20 had not been entered into.

21 **SCOPE OF THIS NOTICE AND FURTHER INFORMATION**

22 The foregoing description of the Settlement Hearing, the Actions, the terms of the proposed  
23 Settlement and other matters described herein does not purport to be comprehensive. Accordingly,  
24 Current Cadence Stockholders are referred to the documents filed with the Court in the Actions, and  
25 the Current Report on SEC Form 8-K, which is available on the “Investor Relations” section of  
26 Cadence’s website (<http://www.cadence.com>) and provides hyperlinks to the Stipulation. In  
27 addition, complaints in the derivative suits and the class action can be obtained on the website  
28 [www.weiserlawfirm.com](http://www.weiserlawfirm.com).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT REGARDING THIS NOTICE.**

Inquiries regarding the Settlement may be made to Counsel for Plaintiff Walter Hamilton in the Federal Actions: Robert B. Weiser, The Weiser Law Firm, P.C., 121 North Wayne Avenue, Suite 100, Wayne, PA 19087; telephone: 610-225-2677.

DATED November 15, 2011

BY ORDER OF THE COURT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA